

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

BEFORE THE
CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

IN THE MATTER OF THE:)
)
LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING))
COMMITTEE MEETING)
)

DATE AND TIME: WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 12, 1997
 9:30 A.M.

PLACE: BOARD HEARING ROOM
 8800 CAL CENTER DRIVE
 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, RPR, CSR
 CERTIFICATE NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 42618

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

APPEARANCES

MR. WESLEY CHESBRO, CHAIRMAN
MR. ROBERT C. FRAZEE, MEMBER
MS. JANET GOTCH, MEMBER

STAFF PRESENT

MR. RALPH CHANDLER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
(NOT PRESENT)
MR. KEITH SMITH, DEPUTY DIRECTOR
(NOT PRESENT)
MR. ELLIOT BLOCK, LEGAL COUNSEL
MS. KATHY MARSH, COMMITTEE SECRETARY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

I N D E X

| | PAGE NO. |
|--|----------|
| CALL TO ORDER | 5 |
| EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS | 5 |
| ITEM 1: REPORT OF DIVERSION, PLANNING AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION. | 5 |
| ITEM 2: REPORT ON WASTE PREVENTION ACTIVITIES OF THE WASTE PREVENTION AND MARKET DEVELOPMENT DIVISION. | 13 |
| ITEM 3: CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA: | 18 |
| ITEM 5: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF ALHAMBRA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY. | |
| ITEM 6: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR GENERATION AND PROJECTIONS FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF MONTEREY PARK, LOS ANGELES COUNTY. | |
| ITEM 7: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT, AND NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF ADELANTO, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY. | |
| ITEM 8: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF BIG BEAR LAKES, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY. | |
| ITEM 9: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR GENERATION AND PROJECTIONS FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF REDLANDS, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY. | |
| ITEM 10: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF | |

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SUMMARY PLAN
AND COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR
THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

ITEM 12: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATION ON CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR GENERATION
TONNAGE FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE
UNINCORPORATED AREA, SANTA CRUZ COUNTY

ITEM 4: CONSIDERATION OF THE PROPOSED 1997 WASTE
REDUCTION AWARDS PROGRAM.

| | |
|----------------------|----|
| STAFF PRESENTATION | 19 |
| PUBLIC TESTIMONY | |
| COMMITTEE DISCUSSION | 23 |
| ACTION | 23 |

ITEM 11: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON
THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE
ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF CAPITOLA, SANTA CRUZ
COUNTY.

| | |
|----------------------|----|
| STAFF PRESENTATION | 27 |
| PUBLIC TESTIMONY | |
| COMMITTEE DISCUSSION | |
| ACTION | 29 |

| | |
|---|----|
| ITEM 13: ORAL PRESENTATION ON THE PROGRAM ANNUAL REPORT DATABASE SYSTEM. | 30 |
|---|----|

| | |
|---------------------------|----|
| ITEM 14: OPEN DISCUSSION. | -- |
|---------------------------|----|

| | |
|----------------------|----|
| ITEM 15: ADJOURNMENT | 40 |
|----------------------|----|

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA;

2 WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 12, 1997

3 9:30 A.M.

4

5 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: GOOD MORNING. THIS IS
6 THE MEETING OF THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING
7 COMMITTEE OF THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD
8 FOR WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 12TH, AND WE WILL BEGIN BY
9 CALLING THE ROLL.

10 THE SECRETARY: COMMITTEE MEMBERS FRAZEE.

11 MEMBER FRAZEE: HERE.

12 THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.

13 MEMBER GOTCH: HERE.

14 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN CHESBRO.

15 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: HERE.

16 DO WE HAVE ANY EX PARTES?

17 MEMBER GOTCH: NO. I THINK I'M ALL CAUGHT
18 UP.

19 MEMBER FRAZEE: NONE FOR ME.

20 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: AND WE WILL BEGIN WITH
21 THE FIRST AGENDA ITEM, WHICH IS AN ORAL REPORT BY
22 JUDY FRIEDMAN FOR THE DIVERSION, PLANNING AND LOCAL
23 ASSISTANCE DIVISION.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
25 CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. THIS ITEM IS AN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 UPDATE ON SOME OF THE MAJOR ACTIVITIES OF THE
2 DIVERSION, PLANNING AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION.

3 FIRST, LOCAL PLANS. ELEMENTS OF
4 EIGHT JURISDICTIONS ARE ON TODAY'S AGENDA, AND THIS
5 REPRESENTS TWO SRRE'S, TWO HHWE'S, TWO NDFE'S, ONE
6 SUMMARY PLAN, AND 1 CIWMP.

7 STAFF ARE MONITORING THE STATUS OF
8 JURISDICTIONS ON THE ENFORCEMENT LIST WHICH HAVE
9 YET TO SUBMIT THEIR SRRE'S AND NDFE'S TO THE BOARD
10 FOR CONSIDERATION. JURISDICTIONS WHICH HAVE NOT
11 SUBMITTED FINAL DOCUMENTS BY MID-NOVEMBER WILL
12 LIKELY BE SCHEDULED FOR A JANUARY PUBLIC HEARING AS
13 THE NEXT STEP OF THE BOARD'S ENFORCEMENT PROCESS.

14 IN ADDITION, LETTERS WERE SENT TO
15 JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE NOT YET SUBMITTED THEIR
16 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENTS, SITING
17 ELEMENTS, AND SUMMARY PLANS TO THE BOARD,
18 REQUESTING A SCHEDULE FOR SUBMITTING THEIR
19 DOCUMENTS. STAFF ARE WORKING WITH THESE
20 JURISDICTIONS TO DEVELOP THEIR COMPLIANCE
21 SCHEDULES. STAFF WILL BE PRESENTING AN AGENDA ITEM
22 TO THE COMMITTEE MEETING IN DECEMBER FOR BOARD
23 APPROVAL OF THESE JURISDICTIONS' COMPLIANCE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 SCHEDULES. SO WE ARE CONTINUING OUR STEPS IN
25 COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SOME OTHER PLANNING ISSUES. THE
2 WASTE CHARACTERIZATION ANALYSIS BRANCH STAFF MET
3 WITH U.S. EPA IN SAN FRANCISCO TO DEMONSTRATE THE
4 WASTE DATABASE AND ITS APPLICATION TO OTHER AREAS
5 OF THE COUNTRY AND DISCUSS THE POSSIBILITY OF
6 FUNDING FROM EPA REGION IX REGIONAL APPLIED
7 RESEARCH EFFORT FUNDS TO GATHER MORE DATA IN
8 CALIFORNIA AND ALSO TEST THE DATABASE IN OTHER
9 STATES. AND WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT THIS FROM TIME TO
10 TIME, AND WE ARE CONTINUING TO PURSUE THOSE FUNDING
11 SOURCES.

12 ALSO, STAFF CONDUCTED THE FIRST AUDIT
13 OF A COUNTY'S DISPOSAL REPORTING RECORDS DUE TO
14 PROBLEMS THE COUNTY IS HAVING WITH DISPOSAL
15 REPORTING, IMPERIAL COUNTY AND ALSO THE IMPERIAL
16 COUNTY SANITARY LANDFILL. THE AUDIT REPORT SHOULD
17 BE ISSUED IN EARLY 1998, BUT IT LOOKS LIKE STAFF
18 FOUND SOLUTIONS TO THEIR ISSUES.

19 UPDATE ON PROJECT RECYCLE. DURING
20 THE MONTH OF OCTOBER, TWO NEW RECYCLING PROGRAM
21 SITES WERE ADDED TO THE STATE PROGRAM, ONE IN
22 SACRAMENTO AND THE OTHER IN NORWALK.

23 STAFF STAFFED A BOOTH AT THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 CALIFORNIA COLLEGIATE RECYCLING COUNCIL IN CHICO TO
25 PROVIDE THE COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITIES WITH

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 INFORMATION ON RECYCLING INKJET CARTRIDGES. ALSO,
2 STAFF CONDUCTED SITE VISITS AT THE MCCAIN VALLEY
3 CONSERVATION CAMP, THE CALIPATRIA STATE PRISON,
4 CENTIANELLA STATE PRISON, DONOVAN STATE PRISON, DHA
5 744 P STREET, DOJ IN SAN BERNARDINO, AND EDD IN
6 RIVERSIDE, AND ON ALL THESE SITES IT WAS LOOKING AT
7 SETTING UP DIFFERENT TYPES OF RECYCLABLE MATERIAL
8 PROGRAMS. SO WE'RE CONTINUING TO EXPAND OUR
9 EFFORTS WITH STATE FACILITIES.

10 UPDATE ON USED OIL AND HOUSEHOLD
11 HAZARDOUS WASTE. EIGHTEEN CENTERS WERE CERTIFIED
12 AND 24 CENTERS WERE RECERTIFIED IN THIS LAST MONTH.
13 AND THE TOTAL PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS CURRENTLY EQUALS
14 NEARLY 3,000, SO WE'RE AT 2939, AND WE JUST
15 CONTINUE TO KEEP GROWING, WHICH IS GOOD NEWS.

16 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE GRANT
17 APPLICATION PACKAGES HAVE BEEN MAILED TO INTERESTED
18 PARTIES. THE APPLICATION IS ALSO AVAILABLE THROUGH
19 THE BOARD'S HOME PAGE. \$1.5 MILLION IS AVAILABLE
20 FOR COMPETITIVE GRANTS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, AND
21 APPLICATIONS ARE DUE JANUARY 23, 1998.

22 STAFF HAS COMPLETED THE NEW LATEX
23 PAINT FACT SHEET. THIS SHEET WILL BE AVAILABLE IN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 HARD COPY AND ON THE INTERNET BY MID-NOVEMBER.

25 THE NATIONAL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CONFERENCE IS NOVEMBER 16TH THROUGH 19TH IN LA
2 JOLLA. ALL CAL-EPA AGENCIES ARE COSPONSORS OF THIS
3 CONFERENCE, AND CAL-EPA'S SECRETARY, PETER ROONEY,
4 WILL BE IN ATTENDANCE FOR THE AWARDS LUNCHEON AND
5 ALSO THE U.S. EPA HOTLINE NATIONAL LAUNCH.

6 THE CONFERENCE WILL BE THE CALIFORNIA
7 DOWN LINK SITE FOR THE NATIONAL LAUNCH PRESS
8 CONFERENCE FROM THE WHITE HOUSE FEATURING VICE
9 PRESIDENT AL GORE MAKING THE FIRST OFFICIAL CALL TO
10 THE 1-800 CLEANUP LINE.

11 THE GRANTS AND HHWE STAFF HAVE BEEN
12 ASSISTING WITH CONFERENCE COORDINATION AND WILL
13 STAFF A BOOTH AT THE EXHIBIT AREA AS WELL.

14 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: NO REFLECTION ON THAT
15 PARTICULAR ITEM, BUT I GUESS THAT IN THIS
16 ADMINISTRATION AND IN CAL-EPA WE PARTICIPATE IN
17 NATIONAL CONFERENCES WHENEVER THEY'RE HELD IN
18 CALIFORNIA. SO, YOU KNOW, WE CAN HAVE LIKE A REAL
19 STRONG PRESENCE AS LONG AS THE CONFERENCE IS HERE.

20 ANYWAY, LITTLE EDITORIAL COMMENT
21 THERE. I'VE HAD GREAT FRUSTRATION THAT WE HAVEN'T
22 PARTICIPATED IN THOSE TYPES OF CONFERENCES ACROSS
23 THE COUNTRY, BUT I'M GLAD AT LEAST WHEN IT'S HELD

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 IN CALIFORNIA THAT WE'LL HAVE A STRONG PRESENCE.

25 MS. FRIEDMAN: IN ANY EVENT, OUR 800

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CLEANUP LINE IS GOING TO BE FEATURED, OBVIOUSLY,
2 NATIONALLY.

3 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: GREAT. I THINK THAT'S
4 VERY EXCITING.

5 MS. FRIEDMAN: VERY EXCITING.

6 THE USED OIL FOURTH CYCLE OPPORTUNITY
7 GRANT APPLICATIONS ARE DUE NOVEMBER 14TH. REVIEW
8 TEAMS WILL BE CONVENED TO SCORE GRANTS AND MAKE
9 FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE MARCH 1998
10 ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE. OVER \$6 MILLION IS
11 AVAILABLE TO HELP LOCAL GOVERNMENTS ESTABLISH OR
12 ENHANCE PERMANENT, SUSTAINABLE USED OIL RECYCLING
13 PROGRAMS.

14 AND ONE FINAL NOTE. STAFF HAVE
15 RECEIVED A PETITION FOR REDUCTION FROM THE CITY OF
16 LAKEWOOD. IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT LAKEWOOD
17 SENT SIX ORIGINAL PETITIONS, ONE TO EACH BOARD
18 MEMBER OFFICE AS WELL. STAFF HAVE REVIEWED THE
19 PETITION VERY PRELIMINARILY, AND I BELIEVE BOARD
20 MEMBER JONES HAS BEEN ASKED TO SPEAK AT LAKEWOOD
21 THIS EVENING PRESUMABLY ABOUT THIS PETITION IN
22 PART.

23 PLEASE NOTE THAT STAFF WILL BE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 PROCESSING THIS PETITION AS WE TYPICALLY DO, SO THE
25 BOARD MEMBERS CAN VIEW THEIR COPIES THAT THEY'VE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 RECEIVED AS COMPLIMENTARY COPIES. AND I JUST
2 WANTED TO MAKE SURE OF THAT BECAUSE WITH SIX COPIES
3 GOING TO INDIVIDUAL BOARD MEMBERS, PLUS TO MY
4 OFFICE, PLUS TO RALPH'S OFFICE, IT CAN BE VERY
5 CONFUSING AS TO THE PROCESS, BUT WE WILL BE
6 PROCESSING THIS AS TYPICAL.

7 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IS THIS REDUCTION
8 THAT'S RELATED TO THEIR PARTICIPATION IN THE SERRF
9 PLANT, OR IS THIS REDUCTION THAT IS UNDER THE
10 GENERAL STATUTES THAT APPLY TO ALL JURISDICTIONS?

11 MS. FRIEDMAN: I HAVE NOT YET REVIEWED THE
12 PETITION MYSELF. IT WAS BASICALLY JUST RECEIVED IN
13 MY OFFICE THIS MORNING, BUT MY GUESS IS THAT IT
14 DEALS WITH THEIR UNIQUE STATUS AS RELATION TO THE
15 SERRF PLANT. I MEAN TYPICALLY THEY HAVE PREPARED
16 INFORMATION ALONG THOSE LINES, SO I CAN'T IMAGINE
17 WHY IT WOULD NOT BE THAT WAY, BUT WE CAN CERTAINLY
18 BRING YOU AN UPDATE AT A LATER DATE.

19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE GOT A COPY. WE'LL
20 REVIEW IT. I JUST HADN'T HAD A CHANCE TO LOOK AT
21 IT.

22 MEMBER FRAZEE: WOULD THEY BE ELIGIBLE FOR
23 ANY OTHER KIND OF REDUCTION OTHER THAN THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 TRANSFORMATION?

25 MS. FRIEDMAN: EVERY JURISDICTION CAN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 REQUEST A REDUCTION IN THE 50-PERCENT GOAL. THERE
2 IS NO RESTRICTION ON THAT. WITH RESPECT TO TRYING
3 TO GET TO 50 PERCENT AND FINDING THAT THEY ARE
4 UNABLE TO, THEY CAN REQUEST THAT OF THE BOARD.
5 THERE IS DISCRETION FOR THE BOARD TO LOOK AT THOSE
6 ISSUES.

7 MY GUESS IS THEY WILL BE LOOKING AT
8 WHATEVER AVENUES THEY CAN TO SUCCEED IN THIS
9 PETITION.

10 MEMBER FRAZEE: MY CURSORY READING OF THE
11 PETITION INDICATED THAT IT WAS DUE TO THE SERRF
12 PLANT AND THE TRANSFORMATION.

13 MS. FRIEDMAN: MY STAFF ARE BACK IN THE
14 AUDIENCE NODDING THEIR HEADS AT YOUR STATEMENT, SO
15 I WON'T OBVIOUSLY SAY ANYTHING TO THE OTHERWISE. I
16 JUST HAVEN'T PERSONALLY REVIEWED THAT DOCUMENT
17 MYSELF AT THIS POINT.

18 AND I DO KNOW THAT THERE'S SOME
19 PRELIMINARY PROBLEMS THAT WE'VE IDENTIFIED
20 CONCERNING THE REPORTING REQUIREMENT THAT WAS A
21 PART OF THE CONDITIONAL APPROVAL FOR THEIR SOURCE
22 REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND THE TIMING OF
23 THAT. SO THERE MAY BE SOME OTHER CONCERNS AS STAFF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 REVIEW IT IN MORE DETAIL.

25 AND THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

2 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

3 MEMBER GOTCH: NO.

4 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: APPARENTLY NOT.

5 MS. FRIEDMAN: THANK YOU.

6 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THANKS.

7 NEXT IS AGENDA ITEM NO. 2, WHICH IS
8 AN ORAL REPORT BY CAREN TRGOVCICH ON ACTIVITIES OF
9 THE WASTE PREVENTION AND MARKET DEVELOPMENT
10 DIVISION THAT ARE RELATED TO THIS COMMITTEE'S AREAS
11 OF CONCERN.

12 MS. TRGOVCICH: GOOD MORNING, MEMBER
13 CHESBRO AND OTHER MEMBERS. I'D LIKE TO REPORT ON A
14 FEW ITEMS THIS MORNING, FIRST OF ALL, AS IT
15 PERTAINS TO OUR REGULATORY FUNCTIONS.

16 WE HAVE BEEN COORDINATING WITH THE
17 DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AS IT PERTAINS TO THE
18 SURVEYS THAT HAVE BEEN DISTRIBUTED TO PROCESSORS
19 AROUND THE STATE, APPROXIMATELY 206 PROCESSORS, AND
20 THEY'RE BEING SURVEYED FOR DATA NEEDED TO CALCULATE
21 THE NUMERATOR, AS YOU WILL REMEMBER.

22 WE HAVE A DECEMBER 11TH INTERESTED
23 PARTIES MEETING THAT IS NOW SCHEDULED. IT IS THAT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 MEETING THAT WE WILL USE, ONE, TO PRESENT THE
25 RESULTS OF THE SURVEY AND TO BE ABLE TO LOOK AT THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 NUMERICAL VALUE FOR THE NUMERATOR; AND, TWO, TO
2 PRESENT THE RESULTS OF THE DENOMINATOR WORK THAT
3 CASCADIA CONSULTING GROUP, OUR CONTRACTOR ON THIS
4 PROJECT, HAS PREPARED.

5 SO IT WILL BE AT THAT MEETING, THE
6 DECEMBER 11TH MEETING, THAT THE CALCULATION OF THE
7 1996 RPPC RATE WILL BE KNOWN. THIS IS A VERY
8 SIMILAR PROCESS TO WHAT WAS USED LAST YEAR. AND
9 THEN WE WILL BE PRESENTING THAT RATE TO THE
10 COMMITTEE AND BOARD FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION. I
11 HAD TO SMILE.

12 I'D LIKE TO ALSO REPORT TO YOU THAT
13 WE HAVE BEEN SELECTED, SPECIFICALLY BILL ORR HAS
14 BEEN SELECTED TO SIT ON ATSWOMO'S P-II
15 IMPLEMENTATION SUBCOMMITTEE. ATSWOMO HAS SET UP A
16 POLLUTION PREVENTION IMPLEMENTATION SUBCOMMITTEE
17 WHERE THEY ARE INTENDING TO BRING TOGETHER ALL THE
18 VARIOUS STATE ENTITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR POLLUTION
19 PREVENTION PROGRAMS TO COME UP WITH VARIOUS
20 APPROACHES.

21 WE ARE PLANNING TO EMPHASIZE THREE
22 THINGS, AND THAT IS BRINGING A RESOURCE EFFICIENCY
23 PHILOSOPHY TO THE P-II SUBCOMMITTEE, TO ELEVATE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 SOLID WASTE ISSUES TO THE SAME LEVEL OF OTHER MEDIA
25 ISSUES, SPECIFICALLY HAZARDOUS WASTE; AND, THREE,

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 TO LOOK FOR OPPORTUNITIES TO IMPLEMENT SPECIFIC
2 HIGH PRIORITY PROJECTS THAT REALLY INTEGRATE THE
3 VARIOUS MEDIA IN IMPLEMENTING POLLUTION PREVENTION
4 PROJECTS.

5 SO WE'RE LOOKING FORWARD TO THIS. WE
6 ARE LOOKING FORWARD TO A FEW OUT-OF-STATE TRAVEL
7 TRIPS. SO WE ARE PLANNING ON DOING OUR BEST
8 SITTING ON THIS SUBCOMMITTEE, AND WE REALLY ARE
9 LOOKING FORWARD TO THE INTERACTION WITH THE OTHER
10 STATE AGENCIES AS WELL.

11 CALMAX AND WRAP HAVE KIND OF MADE A
12 TOUR AROUND THE STATE. ORIGINALLY THEY INTENDED A
13 STOP IN FRESNO COUNTY. THEY WERE INVITED BY THE
14 FRESNO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. OUR STAFF THAT
15 ADMINISTER THOSE TWO PROGRAMS WERE ASKED TO PROVIDE
16 A PRESENTATION AND DEMONSTRATE WHAT'S AVAILABLE ON
17 OUR WEB SITE, WHAT'S UP WITH CALMAX AND WRAP
18 PROGRAMS. AND AS A RESULT OF THAT, THEY WERE
19 INVITED TO A NUMBER OF OTHER JURISDICTIONS
20 THROUGHOUT THE SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AREA. SO THEY
21 WENT ON A WHIRLWIND TOUR. THEY WERE RECEIVED VERY
22 WARMLY. WE COORDINATED WITH THE OFFICE OF LOCAL
23 ASSISTANCE BEFORE HEADING OUT TO THESE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 JURISDICTIONS TO MAKE SURE THAT WE KNEW ALL THE HOT
25 BUTTON ISSUES OUT THERE SO THAT WE CAN EITHER,

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 BOTH, ONE, BRING THEM SOME ASSISTANCE AND, TWO,
2 AVOID THE TRAPS.

3 I THINK WE DID BOTH PRETTY
4 SUCCESSFULLY. BUT AS WE HEAR FROM MOST JURISDIC-
5 TIONS WHEN WE ARE OUT THERE IN THE FIELD, THEY
6 INDICATED THAT THEIR BIGGEST CONCERNS ARE FISCAL
7 ISSUES. AND THEY WANT TO CONTINUE TO WORK ON A
8 VARIETY OF ISSUES AS IT PERTAINS TO SOLID WASTE IN
9 THE VARIOUS PROGRAMS. BUT WHAT WE DID WAS WE GOT
10 THE WORD OUT. WE DEMONSTRATED THE WEB SITE, AND
11 THEY WERE PLEASED OVERALL WITH THAT.

12 OUR YARD WASTE PREVENTION STAFF, AS
13 WELL, EXHIBITED AT THE 1997 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
14 TURF GRASS COUNCIL'S TURF GRASS LANDSCAPE AND
15 EQUIPMENT EXPO IN ORANGE COUNTY. DO YOU GET THAT,
16 BETH?

17 THE REPORTER: YES, I DID. THANK YOU VERY
18 MUCH.

19 MS. TRGOVCICH: THIS YEAR'S ANNUAL EXHIBIT
20 WAS RECEIVED VERY WELL, AND WE RECEIVED 254 SURVEY
21 CARDS. AS YOU KNOW, OUR YARD WASTE PREVENTION
22 STAFF HAS BEEN USING THE SURVEY CARDS OVER THE LAST
23 SEVERAL YEARS, AND THEY'VE BEEN VERY EFFECTIVE AT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 GETTING OURSELVES WITH BOTH INFORMATION AND INPUT
25 AND INCREASING THE AWARENESS AROUND THE INFORMATION

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WE HAVE TO PROVIDE.

2 WE OFFERED INFORMATION ON FIRE SAFE
3 LANDSCAPING. THOSE QUESTIONS WERE SUBMITTED AND
4 ARE PART OF OUR PARTNERSHIP WITH THE CALIFORNIA
5 DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY. STAFF HAVE BEEN PROMOTING
6 YARD WASTE PREVENTION REDUCTION FROM ABATEMENT
7 ACTIVITIES ASSOCIATED WITH FIRE SAFE LANDSCAPE.

8 THE INFORMATION FROM THE CARDS WILL
9 HELP US TO DETERMINE HOW AND WHAT THE LANDSCAPE
10 INDUSTRY IS DOING IN TERMS OF GRASSCYCLING AND FIRE
11 SAFE LANDSCAPING.

12 FINALLY, I'D LIKE TO ADVISE YOU OF AN
13 UPCOMING WORKSHOP THAT WE ARE HOLDING. AND BILL IS
14 GOING TO BE HANDING OUT SOME BROCHURES FOR YOU. WE
15 WERE CONTACTED BY U.S. EPA WANTING TO KNOW IF WE
16 WANTED TO COSPONSOR A WORKSHOP ON A NEW SOURCE
17 REDUCTION PROGRAM MODEL THAT THEY HAD DEVELOPED.
18 AND WE INDICATED THAT WE CERTAINLY WANTED TO WORK
19 WITH THEM ON HOSTING THESE WORKSHOPS AND THAT WE
20 WOULD BE VERY INTERESTED IN ADDING IN OUR OWN
21 INFORMATION AS WELL.

22 SO THERE ARE TWO WORKSHOPS COMING UP,
23 ONE ON DECEMBER 9TH HERE IN SACRAMENTO, ONE ON

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 DECEMBER 11TH IN DIAMOND BAR. THESE ARE JOINT
25 WORKSHOPS AGAIN; AND IN ADDITION TO THE SOURCE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 REDUCTION PROGRAM MODELS THAT U.S. EPA IS
2 FEATURING, WE WILL ALSO BE FEATURING INFORMATION ON
3 ADPT, THE AUTOMATED DIVERSION PLANNING TOOL, THAT
4 THE DIVERSION PLANNING AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE
5 DIVISION IS DEVELOPING, AS WELL AS THE WASTE
6 CHARACTERIZATION DATABASE. SO IT'S A VERY GOOD
7 OPPORTUNITY AND A VERY GOOD OPPORTUNITY TO WORK
8 WITH OUR PARTNERS AT U.S. EPA AS WELL.

9 AND THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

10 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. ANY QUESTIONS?
11 COMMENTS? THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

12 THE NEXT ITEM IS THE CONSENT AGENDA,
13 ITEM NO. 3, AND IT INCLUDES ITEMS 5 THROUGH 10 AND
14 ITEM 12. THERE ARE COPIES AT THE BACK OF THE ROOM
15 FOR ANYONE WHO MAY HAVE COME TO SPEAK ON AN ITEM,
16 AND WE'D BE HAPPY TO PULL AN ITEM OFF IF THERE'S
17 ANY REQUESTS. IF THERE ARE NO REQUESTS, I WILL
18 ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO ADOPT THE CONSENT AGENDA AND
19 FORWARD IT TO THE BOARD'S CONSENT AGENDA.

20 MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.

21 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

22 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
23 SECONDED. CAN WE CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 THE SECRETARY: COMMITTEE MEMBERS FRAZEE.

25 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.

2 MEMBER GOTCH: AYE.

3 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN CHESBRO.

4 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: AYE. MOTION CARRIES.

5 NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 4, WHICH IS
6 CONSIDERATION OF THE PROPOSED 1997 WASTE REDUCTION
7 AWARDS PROGRAM OR WRAP-OF-THE-YEAR WINNERS. GOOD
8 MORNING.

9 MR. HUNTS: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN CHESBRO
10 AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. MY NAME IS JEFF HUNTS. I'M
11 THE SUPERVISOR OF THE BUSINESS WASTE REDUCTION
12 UNIT. I HAVE WITH ME THIS MORNING LINDA HENNESSEY,
13 WHO IS OUR WASTE REDUCTION AWARDS PROGRAM
14 COORDINATOR.

15 THE ITEM WE HAVE BEFORE YOU TODAY IS
16 CONSIDERATION OF THE PROPOSED 1997 WASTE REDUCTION
17 AWARD PROGRAM WRAP-OF-THE-YEAR WINNERS. WRAP OF
18 THE YEAR REPRESENTS THE BEST OF THE BEST. NOT ONLY
19 HAVE THE BUSINESSES THAT WERE CANDIDATES AND ARE
20 PROPOSED TO BE WINNERS OF THIS RECOGNITION, NOT
21 ONLY HAVE THEY MET THE STANDARDS OF WRAP, THE
22 STRINGENT STANDARDS OF WRAP, BUT THEY HAVE GONE FAR
23 BEYOND THAT.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 THE PROCESS THIS YEAR WAS WE TOOK THE
25 NEARLY 300 REGULAR WRAP WINNERS, STAFF ANALYZED

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THEM, AND TOOK THOSE THAT HAD ACHIEVED A SCORE OF
2 95 PERCENT OR HIGHER, AND I BELIEVE THERE WAS
3 NEARLY 80 OF THOSE. STAFF THEN RAN THOSE CANDIDATE
4 BUSINESSES THROUGH A ROUGH CRITERIA TO REDUCE THE
5 CANDIDATE POOL, CRITERIA SUCH AS THE WASTE TYPES
6 GENERATED BY THOSE BUSINESSES, THE BUSINESS TYPES
7 REPRESENTED, AND OVERALL WASTE REDUCTION
8 ACHIEVEMENTS, AND CAME UP WITH A CANDIDATE POOL OF
9 47 BUSINESSES IN TEN BUSINESS CATEGORIES.

10 STAFF ALSO DEVELOPED A CRITERIA GUIDE
11 SHEET FOR THE EVALUATION PANEL WHICH WAS MADE UP OF
12 ADVISORS AND COMMITTEE ANALYSTS. ADVISORS AND
13 COMMITTEE ANALYSTS WERE ABLE TO USE THAT SHEET TO
14 CONSIDER THE CANDIDATE BUSINESSES. THERE WAS NO
15 RULE ABOUT PAST WRAP-OF-THE-YEAR WINNERS NOT BEING
16 ELIGIBLE, BUT IN THIS CASE STAFF HAD NOT PUT
17 FORWARD ANY OF THE PAST WRAP-OF-THE-YEAR WINNERS AS
18 CANDIDATE BUSINESSES SIMPLY BECAUSE OF THE WAY
19 THINGS WORKED OUT.

20 STAFF MET WITH THE ADVISORS AND
21 COMMITTEE ANALYSTS WHO WERE ON THE EVALUATION PANEL
22 TO DISCUSS THE PROCESS AND TO DISCUSS THE WINNERS.
23 THE PROPOSED WINNERS, I BELIEVE -- I KNOW WHEN WE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 BRIEFED BOARD MEMBER GOTCH, WE HADN'T HAD PROPOSED
25 WINNERS YET. YOU SHOULD HAVE THAT ATTACHED.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THIS YEAR, AS IN THE LAST PAST YEARS,
2 WE PROPOSE TO USE THE WRAP-OF-THE-YEAR WINNERS AS
3 OUTREACH TO BUSINESS; WHEREAS, REGULAR WRAP WINNERS
4 ARE PUBLICIZED THROUGHOUT THE STATE AND REGIONALLY
5 AS BEING GREAT EXAMPLES OF BUSINESS WASTE
6 REDUCTION. WE USE WRAP-OF-THE-YEAR AS SHINING
7 EXAMPLES OF THE BEST OF THE BEST BUSINESSES, AND WE
8 PROMOTE STRONGLY TO THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY IN
9 PARTICULAR AND TO THE BUSINESS TRADE GROUPS THAT
10 ARE REPRESENTED BY THE WINNERS.

11 UPON APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED
12 WINNERS, STAFF WILL BEGIN THE PROCESS OF DEVELOPING
13 AWARD PLAQUES AND COORDINATING WITH BOARD MEMBER
14 OFFICES AND THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS TO -- FOR
15 PRESS EVENTS AND PRESENTATIONS AND BE WORKING WITH
16 THE TRADE PRESS TO DEVELOP RECOGNITION IN THE MEDIA
17 FOR THOSE BUSINESSES.

18 THE PROPOSED WINNERS THIS YEAR ARE
19 FETZER VINEYARDS OF MENDOCINO COUNTY, HEWLETT
20 PACKARD COMPANY IN CUPERTINO. AND I'M PERSONALLY
21 VERY HAPPY THAT CUPERTINO IS MY HOMETOWN, AND THE
22 ONLY TIME I EVER RECEIVED A BACK INJURY WAS WHEN I
23 WAS LIFTING -- I WAS WORKING MY WAY THROUGH COLLEGE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 AS A NIGHTTIME JANITOR AT THIS FACILITY, AND I WAS
25 LIFTING A --

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I KNOW THIS WILL GET
2 BACK TO WRAP-OF-THE-YEAR WINNERS.

3 MR. HUNTS: -- TRASH CAN FULL OF PHONE
4 BOOKS THAT WERE BEING THROWN AWAY. AND I HURT MY
5 BACK, AND I'M GLAD TO SEE THAT THEY'VE COME THIS
6 FAR IN SO MANY YEARS.

7 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: YOU COULD HAVE INJURED
8 IT RECYCLING THEM INSTEAD OF THROWING THEM AWAY.

9 MR. HUNTS: I COULD HAVE.

10 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WASTE PREVENTION -- I'M
11 SURE THAT BY NOW THAT ALL OF THEIR PHONE BOOKS ARE
12 ON DISK OR ON THE INTERNET OR SOMEHOW NOT HARD
13 COPY. YOU DON'T HURT YOUR BACK ANYWAY -- SOMETHING
14 ELSE MAYBE -- BY SITTING AT THE KEYBOARD.

15 MR. HUNTS: CARPAL TUNNEL. OKAY.

16 THE REST OF THE WINNERS ARE HILLSIDE
17 PRESS IN ROLLING HILLS ESTATE, IMATION CORPORATION
18 IN VENTURA COUNTY, PEPSI COLA BOTTLING IN FRESNO,
19 PLAZA CAMINO REAL IN CARLSBAD, THE SAN FRANCISCO
20 HILTON AND TOWERS, SEA WORLD IN SAN DIEGO, ST.
21 BERNADINE MEDICAL CENTER IN SAN BERNARDINO, AND
22 WARNER BROTHERS IN BURBANK.

23 STAFF ARE REQUESTING THAT IF THIS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 IS -- THE PROPOSED LIST IS APPROVED, THAT THIS BE
25 PUT ON CONSENT SO THAT STAFF CAN BUSY THEMSELVES

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WITH PREPARING THE PLAQUES AND ARRANGING FOR AWARD
2 PRESENTATIONS.

3 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. ARE THERE ANY
4 QUESTIONS OR DISCUSSION ON THIS LIST? IF NOT, I
5 WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED
6 LIST AND FORWARD IT TO THE BOARD'S CONSENT
7 CALENDAR.

8 MEMBER FRAZEE: WE HAVE A RESOLUTION, MR.
9 CHAIRMAN, RESOLUTION 97-520. I'LL MOVE ADOPTION OF
10 THAT.

11 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED.

12 MEMBER GOTCH: SECONDED.

13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: AND SECONDED. WE'LL
14 SUBSTITUTE PRIOR ROLL CALL. MOTION PASSES.

15 I HAD A RELATED -- I ALMOST BROUGHT
16 IT UP DURING YOUR REPORT, JUDY, BUT A RELATED
17 SUGGESTION. AND THAT IS THAT WE, IN RELATION TO
18 OUR PROJECT RECYCLE PROGRAM, CONSIDER DOING
19 SOMETHING PARALLEL TO THE WRAP PROGRAM FOR STATE
20 AGENCIES THAT HAVE DONE EXCEPTIONAL JOBS OF WASTE
21 REDUCTION AND DIVERSION AND BUY RECYCLED. SO JUST
22 WANTED TO PUT THAT OUT THERE AS A POSSIBILITY TO BE
23 EXPLORED.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 MS. TRGOVCICH: MAYBE JUST AS YOU TAKE A
25 LOOK AT THAT, WITHIN THE STATE AGENCY BUY RECYCLE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 PROGRAM, WE JUST ABOUT THREE MONTHS AGO WENT
2 THROUGH A SERIES OF CERTIFICATES THAT WERE JOINTLY
3 SIGNED BY THE TWO AGENCIES, DGS AND THIS AGENCY, TO
4 THE STATE AGENCIES THAT DID EXCEPTIONALLY WELL IN
5 TERMS OF THE STATE AGENCY BUY RECYCLE PROGRAM.
6 THEY GOT A LETTER OF COMMENDATION. AND THEN THE
7 AGENCIES THAT DID NOT DO SO WELL, THEY RECEIVED ONE
8 OF THREE LETTERS, DEPENDING UPON THEIR LEVEL OF
9 PERFORMANCE. SO IN TERMS OF COORDINATION, JUST TO
10 BE AWARE THAT THE BUY RECYCLE PROGRAM --

11 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S ALREADY BEING DONE
12 AT SOME LEVEL.

13 MS. TRGOVCICH: JUST THROUGH THE BUY
14 RECYCLED ELEMENT. IT DOES NOT ACCOUNT FOR THE
15 COLLECTION PROGRAMS.

16 MS. FRIEDMAN: WE ACTUALLY HAVE HAD
17 LETTERS THAT HAVE GONE TO STATE AGENCIES THAT HAVE
18 DONE AN OUTSTANDING JOB AND CERTAINLY LETTERS THAT
19 HAVE GONE THE OTHER WAY AS WELL. SO -- BUT WE
20 CERTAINLY CAN LOOK AT A MORE FORMAL PRESENTATION
21 KIND OF THING AT THE COMMITTEE AS AN OPTION AS
22 WELL.

23 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: COUPLE THINGS. I

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 APPRECIATE THE WORK THAT'S BEEN DONE TO DATE. I

25 DIDN'T MEAN TO IMPLY THERE HASN'T BEEN POSITIVE AND

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 LESS THAN POSITIVE FEEDBACK TO AGENCIES. BUT ONE
2 THING IS TO KIND OF INTEGRATE THE DIFFERENT
3 ACTIVITIES AS WE DO WITH WRAP. AND SECONDLY IS TO
4 ELEVATE IT A LITTLE BIT TO THE LEVEL OF SORT OF A
5 BOARD PROCESS IN ORDER TO GIVE IT MORE STRENGTH.
6 AND THE THING THAT I THINK WRAP HAS
7 DONE -- IT'S THE LESS NOTICED PART OF IT, BUT IT'S
8 VERY IMPORTANT -- IS THAT IT ELEVATES THE PERSON
9 WITHIN A BUSINESS THAT'S DOING THIS WORK THAT MAYBE
10 NOBODY REALLY NOTICES. AND THEN WHEN A PROJECT
11 GETS RECOGNIZED, SUDDENLY THAT PERSON HAS A WHOLE
12 LOT MORE ABILITY TO GET THINGS DONE WITHIN THE
13 BUSINESS. AND I THINK THE SAME THING WOULD BE TRUE
14 IN A STATE AGENCY IF YOU GIVE MORE ATTENTION, THE
15 PERSON WHOSE RESPONSIBILITY THAT IS SUDDENLY HAS A
16 LOT MORE ABILITY TO MOVE THINGS ALONG WHEN IT'S
17 BEING NOTICED AND RECOGNIZED THAT THE AGENCY IS
18 PERFORMING WELL OR THE DEPARTMENT OR WHATEVER IT
19 IS.
20 SO -- IT ALSO MIGHT BE A WAY FOR US
21 TO GET -- CREATE A LITTLE BIT OF A CARROT IN SOME
22 AREAS WHERE WE HAVE LESS AUTHORITY OR LESS -- FOR
23 EXAMPLE, UNIVERSITY SYSTEM AND SOME OF THE MORE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 AUTONOMOUS PARTS OF STATE GOVERNMENT THAT WE MAY
25 HAVE HAD MORE DIFFICULTY REACHING. WE MAY BE ABLE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 TO PROVIDE MORE OF A CARROT FOR SOME OF THOSE
2 INSTITUTIONS TO INCENTIVIZE THE WHOLE THING A
3 LITTLE BIT. SO JUST A THOUGHT. I DON'T HAVE
4 ANYTHING SPECIFIC IN MIND. I'D JUST LIKE TO SEE IT
5 DISCUSSED IN, YOU KNOW, SOME WAY OF ELEVATING IT A
6 LITTLE BIT AND BROUGHT BACK TO US, IF THERE'S NO
7 DISAGREEMENT.

8 MEMBER GOTCH: NO DISAGREEMENT.

9 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. THANKS VERY
10 MUCH.

11 NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 11, WHICH IS
12 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ON THE
13 ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT
14 FOR THE CITY OF CAPITOLA IN SANTA CRUZ COUNTY.

15 MS. FRIEDMAN: BEFORE I TURN THE
16 PRESENTATION OVER TO LLOYD, I WOULD LIKE TO
17 ANNOUNCE THAT LLOYD WILL BE LEAVING THE DPLA
18 DIVISION AND HIS POST IN THE OFFICE OF LOCAL
19 ASSISTANCE TO TAKE UP A NEW POSITION IN THE
20 PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION PERMITS BRANCH.

21 LLOYD HAS BEEN WITH OFFICE OF LOCAL
22 ASSISTANCE SINCE JANUARY OF 1991 AND HAS BEEN
23 INSTRUMENTAL IN DEVELOPING THE PROGRAM AND HAS DONE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 AN OUTSTANDING JOB SERVING THE BOARD AND THE
25 JURISDICTIONS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AS WELL AS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 LOCAL GOVERNMENT TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE,
2 AMONG HIS FEW ACCOMPLISHMENTS.

3 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: FEW ACCOMPLISHMENTS.

4 MS. FRIEDMAN: I'M TRIPPING OVER MY TONGUE
5 TODAY. ACTUALLY THAT WAS GOOD. FEW OF HIS MANY
6 ACCOMPLISHMENTS. WE WILL MISS LLOYD IN THAT
7 CAPACITY, BUT WE LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH HIM
8 IN HIS NEW CAPACITY. AND SO WITH THAT, I'LL TURN
9 THE PRESENTATION OVER TO LLOYD.

10 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: BEFORE YOU DO THAT, I
11 CERTAINLY HOPE, MR. DILLON, THAT YOU WILL TREAT THE
12 CHAIRMAN OF THE PERMITS AND ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE
13 WITH THE SAME LEVEL OF SINCERE DEFERENCE AND
14 RESPECT THAT YOU REGULARLY ADDRESS ME WITH,
15 COMPLIMENT HIS TIE EVERY TIME YOU SEE HIM, AND GIVE
16 HIM THE SAME KIND OF MAJOR RESPECT THAT YOU HAVE
17 ALWAYS PAID ME. AND I JUST WANT TO THANK YOU FOR
18 ALL THAT REGULAR DEFERENCE THAT --

19 MR. DILLON: I WOULD ASSUME I WOULD GIVE
20 HIM NO LESS RESPECT THAN I'VE SHOWN TOWARD YOU.
21 THANK YOU.

22 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WITH THAT, I'LL GLADLY
23 TURN YOU OVER TODAY TO -- ACTUALLY WE, IN FACT, ARE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 GOING TO MISS YOUR SENSE OF HUMOR, DRY AND

25 DIFFICULT TO TAKE AS IT SOMETIMES IS, AND ALL OF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 YOUR GOOD WORK FOR LOCAL ASSISTANCE.

2 MR. DILLON: WELL, WE'RE GOING TO TRY TO
3 BRING SOME CROSS TRAINING TO THE BOARD AND SHOW
4 PERMITS STAFF JUST HOW IMPORTANT THIS DAMN PLANNING
5 DEPARTMENT IS OVER HERE, AS WE'RE REFERRED TO EVERY
6 NOW AND THEN. I'M LEARNING HOW TO SAY THAT VERY
7 WELL TOO, AS A MATTER OF FACT. BUT THANK YOU FOR
8 THE KIND WORDS AND APPRECIATE IT. IT HAS BEEN FUN.
9 IT HAS BEEN A GREAT TIME WORKING WITH THIS
10 COMMITTEE AND THE MEMBERS AND THE ADVISORS AND THE
11 ANALYSTS AND STUFF.

12 BUT I'M HERE TO PRESENT ITEM 11 TO
13 YOU THIS MORNING FOR THE CITY OF CAPITOLA HOUSEHOLD
14 HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT. WE'RE RECOMMENDING
15 CONDITIONAL APPROVAL OF THE ELEMENT. THE CITY IS
16 LOOKING FOR THE COUNTY TO PROVIDE ALL OF THEIR
17 PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION AND EDUCATION FOR THE
18 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE PROGRAMS.

19 AND AT THIS TIME THEY HAVE NO FORMAL
20 AGREEMENT WITH THE COUNTY TO IMPLEMENT THOSE
21 PROGRAMS. THEY ARE -- HAVE PREPARED AND WE HAVE A
22 DRAFT OF AN MOU BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE COUNTY,
23 BUT AS OF THIS TIME IT'S NOT SIGNED. THEY EXPECT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 TO HAVE AGREEMENT IN JANUARY, AND AT THAT TIME WE
25 WOULD PROPOSE TO BRING THE ELEMENT BACK TO YOU TO

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 UPGRADE IT TO FULL APPROVAL WITH THAT.
2 THEY'RE TAKING THIS ACTION AT THIS
3 TIME BECAUSE OF THE THREAT OF ENFORCEMENT ACTION
4 AGAINST THEM FOR NOT HAVING AN ELEMENT IN. THEY
5 HAD ORIGINALLY WITHDRAWN IT BECAUSE THEY'VE BEEN
6 WORKING WITH THE COUNTY ON GETTING THIS AGREEMENT,
7 AND THEY'RE ON OUR LIST TO HAVE ENFORCEMENT ACTION
8 TAKEN TOWARDS THEM. AND SO IN DOING SO, THEY
9 FORMALLY REQUESTED WE TAKE IT FORWARD WITH A
10 CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, AND THIS IS TOTALLY
11 ACCEPTABLE TO THEM. AND AS SOON AS WE GET THE
12 SIGNED MOU, WE'LL START PROCESSING THAT.

13 SO AT THIS TIME THAT CONCLUDES MY
14 PRESENTATION AND RECOMMEND THAT THE COMMITTEE
15 CONSIDER APPROVING STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION OF
16 CONDITIONAL APPROVAL FOR THE HHWE FOR THE CITY OF
17 CAPITOLA AND MOVE IT TO THE BOARD ON THE CONSENT
18 AGENDA.

19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. ARE THERE ANY
20 QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS ON CAPITOLA'S HHWE? IF NOT,
21 I PRESUME MR. FRAZEE KNOWS THE RESOLUTION NUMBER.

22 MEMBER FRAZEE: YES. IT'S 96-377 AND IT'S
23 ENTITLED CORRECTED VERSION. WE HAVE TWO.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE NOW HAVE THE
25 CORRECTED VERSION OF THE RESOLUTION BEFORE US.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MEMBER GOTCH: I'LL SECOND, YES.

2 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE
3 PRIOR ROLL. THE MOTION PASSES THREE TO ZERO.
4 THANK YOU, MR. DILLON.

5 MR. DILLON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

6 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. ITEM 13, ORAL
7 PRESENTATION ON THE PROGRAM ANNUAL REPORT DATABASE
8 SYSTEM.

9 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES, TRACY HARPER AND
10 DIANNE RANGE WILL BE MAKING THE PRESENTATION FOR
11 STAFF. AND THIS IS AN UPDATE FOR THE COMMITTEE ON
12 SOME EXCITING WORK THAT STAFF HAVE BEEN DOING ON
13 PROGRAM ANNUAL REPORT DATABASE. WE CALL IT PARIS.
14 AND WITH THAT, I'LL TURN THE PRESENTATION OVER TO
15 TRACY.

16 MS. HARPER: GOOD MORNING. I'M TRACY
17 HARPER WITH THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE, AND
18 TODAY I'M GOING TO BE PROVIDING JUST REALLY A BRIEF
19 STATUS REPORT ON THE PLANNING ANNUAL REPORT
20 INFORMATION SYSTEM, OTHERWISE KNOWN AS PARIS.

21 JUST TO GIVE A LITTLE BIT OF
22 BACKGROUND, AS WE ALL KNOW, AB 939 REQUIRED CITIES
23 AND COUNTIES TO PLAN FOR 25 AND 50 PERCENT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 DIVERSION BY THE YEARS '95 AND 2000 RESPECTIVELY.

25 AND THEY DID SO AND TURNED IN PLANS TO THE BOARD,

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AND THE BOARD ACTED UPON THOSE PLANS.

2 STATUTE REQUIRES THAT BEGINNING THE
3 FIRST YEAR AFTER THE BOARD HAS APPROVED A PLAN,
4 THAT A LOCAL GOVERNMENT TURN IN A PROGRESS REPORT,
5 OTHERWISE KNOWN AS AN ANNUAL REPORT, SUMMARIZING
6 THE PROGRESS THAT THEY'VE MADE IMPLEMENTING THEIR
7 PROGRAMS THAT THEY HAVE SELECTED TO ACHIEVE THE
8 DIVERSION GOALS.

9 AND WHAT I HAVE ON THE SCREEN RIGHT
10 NOW ISN'T SUPER IMPORTANT, BUT WHAT THIS IS IS A
11 MODEL ANNUAL REPORT THAT WE'VE PREPARED FOR LOCAL
12 GOVERNMENTS SO THAT IT'S SIMPLER, EASIER, FASTER,
13 LESS EXPENSIVE FOR THEM TO REPORT ON THEIR PROGRESS
14 AND THEIR PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION.

15 WHAT STAFF DOES AND WHAT PARIS DOES
16 IS INCORPORATES THIS INFORMATION THAT LOCAL
17 GOVERNMENTS PROVIDE TO THE BOARD INTO A DATABASE,
18 AND THE DATABASE IS THE PARIS SYSTEM.

19 WHAT WE CAN DO WITH THIS INFORMATION
20 IS PRODUCE A MYRIAD OF REPORTS. AND WHAT WE PLAN
21 ON DOING WITH THIS, WE'RE ABOUT HALFWAY THROUGH OUR
22 INPUTTING OF THIS INFORMATION, IF YOU WILL, INTO
23 THE DATA SYSTEM. AND WE PLAN ON USING THIS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 INFORMATION TO FIND OUT BASICALLY WHAT PROGRAMS ARE
25 BEING IMPLEMENTED IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SO WHAT YOU HAVE IN FRONT OF YOU,
2 REPORT NO. 4. THIS IS ONE OF OUR BASIC REPORTS
3 THAT WE HAVE PRODUCED, AND WE CAN LIST OUT ALL OF
4 THE PROGRAMS BY COMPONENT THAT LOCAL GOVERNMENTS
5 HAVE SELECTED FOR IMPLEMENTATION. ONE OF THE
6 THINGS THAT CAME UP FOR US AS WE WERE DOING THIS IS
7 THE BOARD HAS BEEN INTERESTED IN FINDING OUT, FOR
8 EXAMPLE, WHAT PROGRAMS ARE THE MOST POPULAR
9 PROGRAMS THAT ARE BEING IMPLEMENTED AROUND THE
10 STATE, HOW WELL ARE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS DOING IN
11 IMPLEMENTING THOSE PROGRAMS, AND THEN WHAT PROGRAMS
12 ARE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS HAVING SOME DIFFICULTY
13 IMPLEMENTING, AND WHY IS THAT? AND WE CAN FIND
14 THAT OUT BY USING THE PARIS SYSTEM.

15 WHAT YOU HAVE IN FRONT OF YOU NOW ARE
16 THE PROGRAMS THAT WERE SELECTED BY LOCAL GOVERN-
17 MENTS FOR IMPLEMENTATION AND THEN IF THEY ARE
18 IMPLEMENTING THOSE PROGRAMS.

19 WHAT WE CAN ALSO DO IS PRODUCE A LIST
20 OF THOSE PROGRAMS THAT LOCAL GOVERNMENTS HAVE
21 SELECTED AND THEN NOT IMPLEMENTED. WE CAN LOOK AT
22 THOSE. WE CAN LOOK AT WHY -- WHAT ARE THE REASONS
23 THAT LOCAL GOVERNMENTS ARE TELLING US THAT THEY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 HAVEN'T IMPLEMENTED A PROGRAM. AND THIS WAY WE CAN
25 FIND OUT IF THE BOARD SHOULD FOCUS SOME TECHNICAL

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 ASSISTANCE IN THOSE AREAS; FOR EXAMPLE, IF LOCAL
2 GOVERNMENTS ARE HAVING DIFFICULTY IMPLEMENTING A
3 PROGRAM BECAUSE THEY LACK THE EXPERTISE OR BECAUSE
4 OF THE STAFFING CONSIDERATIONS, PERHAPS THE BOARD
5 CAN TARGET SOME TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE IN THAT AREA.

6 AND WE'RE GOING TO BE USING THE PARIS
7 SYSTEM AS WELL TO PRODUCE REPORTS FOR THE BIENNIAL
8 REVIEW. ONCE EVERY TWO YEARS, AT LEAST, THE BOARD
9 HAS TO DO A REVIEW OF HOW LOCAL GOVERNMENTS ARE
10 DOING IN IMPLEMENTING THEIR PROGRAMS. AND WHAT WE
11 PLAN ON DOING IS USING THIS SYSTEM TO PRODUCE THE
12 LIST BY JURISDICTION OF THE PROGRAMS THAT THEY'RE
13 IMPLEMENTING TO ACHIEVE THEIR DIVERSION GOALS.

14 AS WE PUT THE SYSTEM TOGETHER, IT
15 BECAME APPARENT THAT THERE ARE SOME WAYS TO USE
16 THIS INFORMATION TO ASSIST LOCAL GOVERNMENTS. SO,
17 FOR EXAMPLE, IF -- BY USING A GEOGRAPHIC
18 INFORMATION SYSTEM OVERLAY, WE CAN LOOK AT THE
19 DEMOGRAPHICS THROUGHOUT THE STATE. AND, FOR
20 EXAMPLE, IF AN AREA IS HAVING DIFFICULTY AND THEY
21 WANT TO FIND OUT, YOU KNOW, FIND OUT WHAT OTHER
22 PEOPLE ARE DOING AROUND THE STATE TO ACHIEVE THE
23 DIVERSION GOALS, WE CAN LOOK AT LIKE JURISDICTIONS,

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 ONES THAT HAVE MAYBE SIMILAR POPULATIONS AND
25 INDUSTRIAL MIX AND LOOK AT WHAT'S ANOTHER

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 JURISDICTION THAT HAS SIMILAR DEMOGRAPHICS THAT IS
2 BEING SUCCESSFUL IN IMPLEMENTING THEIR PROGRAMS AND
3 LOOK AT THAT PROGRAM MIX AND PERHAPS COMPARE THOSE
4 LISTS AND PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION TO THAT OTHER
5 JURISDICTION.

6 WE PLAN ON USING THIS ALSO IN A
7 WORKSHOP ARENA. AS CAREN WAS INDICATING, THOSE
8 WORKSHOPS THAT THE BOARD IS COHOSTING OR
9 COSPONSORING WITH EPA, WE'RE GOING TO BE SHOWING
10 THIS SYSTEM TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS THERE. AND I HOPE
11 TO FIND OUT FROM THEM, SHOW THEM THE FRAMEWORK OF
12 THE SYSTEM AND FIND OUT WHAT WOULD BE HELPFUL FOR
13 THEM. GIVEN THAT WE HAVE ALL THIS INFORMATION, HOW
14 WOULD THEY SEE THIS AS A USEFUL TOOL FOR THEM, AND
15 WE CAN CERTAINLY DESIGN SOME MORE REPORTS AND SOME
16 MORE FUNCTIONS FOR THEM TO USE AS WELL.

17 JUST TO SUMMARIZE, AGAIN, THIS IS
18 KIND OF A BRIEF STATUS REPORT. WE'RE ABOUT HALFWAY
19 OR TWO-THIRDS OF THE WAY THROUGH INPUTTING ALL THE
20 INFORMATION FROM THE 1995 ANNUAL REPORTS, AND WE'VE
21 ALREADY BEGUN THE '96 EFFORT. AND WE HOPE TO WRAP
22 UP THE '95S BY THE END OF THE YEAR AND THE '96S
23 SHORTLY THEREAFTER.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WHEN YOU SAY HALFWAY
25 THROUGH, YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE NUMBER OF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 JURISDICTIONS?

2 MS. HARPER: RIGHT. WE'RE AT ABOUT 200
3 JURISDICTIONS FOR COMPLETE DATA INPUT INTO THE
4 SYSTEM. SO WE HAVE ABOUT ANOTHER HUNDRED OR SO TO
5 GO AT THIS POINT. AND AFTER WE HAVE COMPLETED
6 THAT, WE CAN THEN START REPORTING BACK TO YOU ON
7 SOME SUMMARY INFORMATION. AGAIN, IT'S ONLY 330
8 SOMETHING JURISDICTIONS THAT WERE SUPPOSED TO
9 REPORT AS OF 1995 ON THEIR PROGRESS. SO IT'S NOT A
10 COMPLETE PICTURE EVEN WHEN WE FINISH THE '95 DATA
11 INPUT, BUT '96 SHOULD BE A LOT MORE COMPLETE.

12 AND THEN WE CAN START LOOKING AT TWO
13 YEARS WORTH OF INFORMATION AND GET A LITTLE BIT
14 MORE VALID TREND INFORMATION FROM THE SYSTEM AT
15 THAT POINT.

16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WHAT -- APPROXIMATELY
17 WHEN WILL THAT POINT BE?

18 MS. HARPER: WELL, THE '95S WE HOPE TO
19 HAVE INTO THE SYSTEM BY THE END OF THIS YEAR AND
20 '96S SHORTLY THEREAFTER. I'M NOT SURE HOW MANY WE
21 HAVE IN-HOUSE RIGHT NOW, BUT IT'S REALLY DEPENDENT
22 UPON JURISDICTIONS SUBMITTING THOSE AS WELL AND US
23 GETTING THROUGH OUR REVIEW PROCESS.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 THIS IS REALLY ONE PIECE OF THE WHOLE
25 ANNUAL REPORT PROCESS. SO IT COMES IN AND THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WASTE CHARACTERIZATION ANALYSIS BRANCH LOOKS AT THE
2 NUMBERS, AND WE LOOK AT PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION.
3 AND THIS IS JUST KIND OF ONE PIECE OF THAT OVERALL
4 SYSTEM. SO IT KIND OF DEPENDS ON OTHER FACETS OF
5 THE REVIEW. YOU KNOW, I WOULD GUESS A COUPLE OF
6 MONTHS FOLLOWING THE '95S, SO PROBABLY FEBRUARY AS
7 LONG AS THINGS CAME IN RELATIVELY RECENTLY.

8 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. DOES THAT
9 COMPLETE YOUR REPORT?

10 MS. HARPER: THAT CONCLUDES MY REPORT.

11 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ONE OTHER QUESTION I
12 HAVE IS HOW WILL THIS INFORMATION BE ACCESSIBLE TO
13 OUTSIDE PARTIES?

14 MS. HARPER: GOOD QUESTION. ACTUALLY WHAT
15 WE PLAN ON DOING IS, FIRST OFF, LOOKING AT THOSE
16 REPORTS, SO WHEN WE GET THROUGH -- ONE OF THE
17 HESITATIONS THAT I HAVE IS WE DON'T KNOW REALLY
18 WHAT WE'RE GOING TO SEE, SO IT WOULD BE PREMATURE
19 FOR US TO SAY, OH, WE'RE GOING TO PRODUCE THIS,
20 THIS, AND THE OTHER REPORT BECAUSE THAT WILL BE
21 USEFUL AND THEN WE'LL POST IT ON THE WEB SITE. I
22 THINK WE WANT TO DO THAT. WE WANT TO SHARE THE
23 INFORMATION ON THE BOARD'S WEB SITE AND BOARDNET,

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 BUT WE WANT TO SEE WHAT WE COME UP WITH FIRST TO
25 SEE HOW USEFUL IT WILL BE BECAUSE NO ONE HAS REALLY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 DONE THIS BEFORE, SO WE DON'T REALLY KNOW WHAT IT'S
2 GOING TO LOOK LIKE AND IF IT'S GOING TO BE HELPFUL.

3 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: SO THERE WILL BE SOME
4 DECISIONS THAT WILL NEED TO BE MADE ABOUT THE
5 FORMAT AND WHAT PART OF THE INFORMATION IS MOST
6 USABLE. IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE SAYING?

7 MS. HARPER: YES. AND I THINK YOU WILL
8 SEE ME BACK HERE TALKING ABOUT THAT IN THE NEXT FEW
9 MONTHS. PROBABLY AFTER WE GET THE '95 INFORMATION
10 IN, WE'LL SPEND PROBABLY A GOOD MONTH OR SO
11 FORMATTING AND LOOKING AT THE RESULTS OF THAT DATA
12 INPUT AND REDOING SOME REPORTS.

13 WE CAN DO AD HOC QUERIES. WHEN WE
14 COME BACK, WE CAN SHOW YOU PROBABLY TEN BASIC
15 REPORTS AND THEN KIND OF GO FROM THERE WITH WHAT
16 WOULD BE USEFUL. IT WILL PROBABLY TAKE A COUPLE OF
17 DAYS. FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU SAID I WANT TO SEE ALL
18 THE JURISDICTIONS IN THE STATE THAT ARE IMPLEMENT-
19 ING BACKYARD COMPOSTING PROGRAMS, THEN I WANT TO
20 SEE WHO'S DOING GREEN WASTE AS ALTERNATIVE DAILY
21 COVER, AND WHO'S DOING REGIONAL COMPOSTING FACILITY
22 OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, WE CAN PUT A REPORT
23 TOGETHER HAD THAT KIND OF RELATES ALL THAT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 INFORMATION, AND IT WOULD TAKE PROBABLY A WEEK OR
25 SO TO DO SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SO I CAN SEE US GOING THROUGH A
2 PROCESS WHERE WE COME UP WITH BASIC REPORTS, COME
3 BACK, TALK ABOUT IT, GO BACK AND FORMAT SOME OTHER
4 REPORTS, AND KIND OF FIGURE OUT WHAT WE REALLY WANT
5 TO POST OUT THERE FOR PEOPLE TO LOOK AT WHAT'S
6 USEFUL FOR THEM TOO.

7 I HOPE THROUGH THE WORKSHOP PROCESS
8 IN DECEMBER THAT WE CAN GET SOME GOOD INFORMATION
9 FROM LOCAL GOVERNMENTS ABOUT WHAT THEY WOULD WANT
10 TO SEE TOO, AND WE CAN FIGURE OUT HOW WE CAN MAKE
11 THAT AVAILABLE FOR THEM AS WELL.

12 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, GATHERING ALL THE
13 BITS AND PIECES OF INFORMATION ARE -- IT'S A LOT OF
14 HARD WORK, BUT IN THE END WHAT MATTERS THE MOST
15 WITH THAT IS FIGURING OUT HOW TO HELP PEOPLE SORT
16 THROUGH IT AND MAKE IT USEFUL, HAVING A DELIVERY
17 TOOL OR METHOD OF GETTING IT IN THE HANDS OF THE
18 PEOPLE THAT NEED IT IN A WAY THAT THEY CAN
19 EFFECTIVELY USE IT. THAT'S, I THINK, THE CRUCIAL
20 STEP ONCE ALL THE HARD WORK HAS BEEN DONE TO GATHER
21 THE DATA. SO...

22 MS. FRIEDMAN: DEFINITELY. AND IT IS OUR
23 PLAN TO HAVE DATA ACCESSIBLE. WE JUST WANT TO MAKE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 SURE WE HAVE IT IN THE MOST ACCESSIBLE WAY THAT'S
25 USEFUL.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MS. HARPER: ONE OF THE THINGS WE HAVE
2 TALKED ABOUT, AND AGAIN IT MIGHT BE PREMATURE, BUT
3 HAVING SOME REGIONAL WORKSHOPS PERHAPS IF DOWN THE
4 ROAD SIX OR EIGHT MONTHS FROM NOW WE FIND OUT THAT
5 THERE'S SOME REALLY GOOD USEFUL INFORMATION IN
6 PARIS AND THAT --

7 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE CAN ALL GO TO PARIS.

8 MS. HARPER: WE COULD ALL GO TO PARIS.
9 THAT'S A GOOD MOTION. YEAH, WE COULD FIND OUT IF A
10 PARTICULAR REGION -- THERE ARE SOME REALLY GOOD
11 SUCCESSFUL JURISDICTIONS, AND ONE OF THE THINGS
12 THAT THEY REPORT TO US IN THE ANNUAL REPORT IS WE
13 HAVE A SECTION FOR LET US KNOW IF YOU HAVE A GREAT
14 PROGRAM AND IT'S BEEN REALLY HIGHLY COST EFFECTIVE
15 FOR YOU. AND LET US KNOW WHAT THAT PROGRAM IS, AND
16 WE CAN SHARE THAT WITH OTHER PEOPLE. IT'S KIND OF
17 DIFFICULT IN A DATABASE TO DO THAT, BUT WE CAN
18 SHARE THAT INFORMATION ALSO IN WORKSHOPS AND INVITE
19 THOSE FOLKS THAT HAVE SELF-REPORTED TO US ABOUT
20 THOSE HIGHLY COST-EFFECTIVE DIVERSION PROGRAMS AND
21 PERHAPS LOOK AT JURISDICTIONS THAT WOULD HAVE LIKE
22 DEMOGRAPHICS AND INDUSTRIAL MIX AND WHATNOT, AND
23 HAVE SOME FOCUSED REGIONAL WORKSHOPS SO THAT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 EVERYONE CAN SHARE THAT INFORMATION, NOT REALLY US
25 TELLING THEM HOW DO THEIR PROGRAMS, BUT MORE OTHER

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 FOLKS SHARING THEIR SUCCESS STORIES AND PERHAPS
2 HEARING WHAT SOME OF THE PROBLEMS HAVE BEEN FROM
3 SOME OTHERS THAT ARE HAVING DIFFICULTY AND FIGURING
4 OUT WHERE WE CAN PROVIDE THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE
5 FROM OTHER AREAS.

6 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, NEITHER RALPH OR
7 KEITH WERE ABLE TO BE HERE THIS MORNING, BUT I
8 THINK IT'S REAL IMPORTANT FOR THEM TO BE AS
9 INFORMED AS THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS OF THIS COMPONENT
10 OF THE WHOLE ASSISTANCE APPROACH WHICH YOU ALL ARE
11 TAKING. AND I APPLAUD THE DIVISION'S EFFORT TO PUT
12 TOGETHER ALL THESE PIECES OF AN ASSISTANCE PROGRAM
13 CONSISTENT WITH THE ASSISTANCE PLAN WHICH THE BOARD
14 ADOPTED, LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN. SO GOOD WORK.

15 AND IF THERE ARE NO OTHER QUESTIONS,
16 THANKS VERY MUCH.

17 AND THAT LEAVES OPEN DISCUSSION AND
18 ADJOURNMENT. ARE THERE ANY OTHER ITEMS THAT ANYONE
19 WOULD LIKE TO BRING BEFORE US? IF NOT, WE'RE
20 DONE.

21

22 (THE MEETING WAS THEN ADJOURNED AT

23 10:20 A.M.)

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24

25

40

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.